

UNCLASSIFIED    RESTRICTED    CONFIDENTIAL    ~~SECRET~~  
(SENDER WILL CIRCLE CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM)

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

*Who & why are concerned?*

TO	INITIALS	DATE
1 Comptroller	<i>RHH</i>	5 Apr 54
2 <i>Samuelers</i>		
3		
4		
5		

FROM	INITIALS	DATE
1 Deputy Chief, Finance Division	<i>lund</i>	3/30/54
2	<i>RHH</i>	
3		

☐ APPROVAL    ☐ INFORMATION    ☐ SIGNATURE  
☐ ACTION    ☐ DIRECT REPLY    ☐ RETURN  
☐ COMMENT    ☐ PREPARATION OF REPLY    ☐ DISPATCH  
☐ CONCURRENCE    ☐ RECOMMENDATION    ☐ FILE

Remarks: 1 to 2 I don't agree that the overage in one person's account can be offset against another person's acct. If relief is granted to   there should not be any inference that it is because

~~SECRET~~    CONFIDENTIAL    RESTRICTED    UNCLASSIFIED

25X1

25X1A2E

FORM NO. 30-4  
SEP 1947

10-68548-1 U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

25X1A6A

of an offsetting overage. At present  
I know of <sup>good</sup> basis to write  
off the shortage! If we <sup>are to be</sup>  
consistent with the #70 collection  
~~to follow~~ decision, then collection must  
be made in this case. However  
<sup>recently</sup>  
[redacted] approved a write off of  
a shortage at the [redacted] station  
so maybe he'd approve this  
one too. I'm frankly confused  
because to me the [redacted]  
shortage was no stronger a  
case for write-off than the  
#70 payroll shortage.

25X1A6A

25X1A6A

ILLEGIB

OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

RECEIVED BY THE DIRECTOR OF THE BUREAU OF THE ARMY

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

SECRET